

### REMARKS

In the Office Action dated April 24, 2008, claims 1-8 and 14-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,623,860 to Hu et al. Method claims 10-12 were allowed.

In response to the Office Action, applicant has hereby cancelled claims 1-8 and 14-18 without prejudice. Therefore, only allowed claims 10-12 remain in the application.

As a result, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


FAY SHARPE LLP



Jay F. Moldovanyi, Reg. No. 29,678  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579  
216-861-5582

October 15, 2008  
Date

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	
<p>I hereby certify this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as attached or enclosed) is (are) being</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted to the USPTO by electronic transmission via EFS-Web on the date indicated below.</p>	
Date: October 15, 2008	Name/Signature: <del>Theresa L. Lucas</del> KATHLEEN A. NIMLICHTER



N:\KSTR\200005\KAN0010733V001.docx